

Emulation Benchmarks

The Chart

Legend:

- Green: The SD-8516 is capable of emulating this level of performance on an i7-12700 (Geekbench 6 baseline).
- Light Green: Capable, but only with extensive use of the PPU and APU.
- Light Gray: The SD-8516 cannot reach this level of performance, but can reach at least 60%, so ports are possible but may be heavily constrained. Optimization in Assembly may be required.
- Dark Gray: The SD-8516 cannot emulate this system. This is almost always because it is designed as a single threaded system with no 3D acceleration. As an emulator, modern computers can often run emulated systems at near-native speeds. But even if we pass-through the GPU via SDL2 we are unlikely to be able to emulate this class of system because we don't have multi-threading. Given time it is likely computers will continue to increase in speed and we will be able to emulate these systems performance; if I ever add the ability to multitask, these limitations will almost certainly disappear.

Year	System	CPU	Width	Approx MIPS	RAM	Graphics	Audio	Notes
1977	Atari 2600	MOS 6507	8-bit	0.30	128 B	160×192, ~128 colors (TIA tricks)		No framebuffer; cycle-exact emulation needed
1979	Intellivision	CP1610	16-bit	0.35	1 KB	159×96×16		16-bit CPU but very slow clock
1980	VIC-20	MOS 6502>	8-bit	0.45	5 KB	176×184×16		Simple video chip; CPU-bound
1981	BBC Micro	MOS 6502	8-bit	1.00	32 KB	640×256×2		2 MHz CPU; very tight timing
1982	ColecoVision	Z80 @ 3.58 MHz	8-bit	0.58	1 KB + 16 KB VRAM	256×192×16		Same VDP as MSX
1982	C64	MOS 6510	8-bit	0.36	64 KB	320×200×16	SID	VIC-II steals cycles—slower than VIC-20 CPU-only
1983	NES	Ricoh 2A03	8-bit	0.50	2 KB	256×240×25	2×PWM, 1×triangle, 1×noise, 1× DMC (4-bit PCM samples)	Heavy PPU timing—emulation harder than C64
1983	Apple IIe	MOS 6502	8-bit	0.50	64 KB	280×192×6		No sprites; CPU-driven graphics
1985	C128	MOS 8502	8-bit	0.75	128 KB	320×200×16	SID	Faster CPU but still VIC-II limited

Year	System	CPU	Width	Approx MIPS	RAM	Graphics	Audio	Notes
1987	Amiga 500	68000 @ 7 MHz	16/32	4.5	512 KB-1 MB	320×256×32/64/4096	Paula (DMA-driven PCM playback)	Custom chips dominate emulation cost
1991	SNES	Ricoh 5A22	16-bit	1.5	128 KB + VRAM	256×224×256	Advanced Sample Playback	Slow CPU; complex PPU & DMA
1991	386SX	80386SX @ 25 MHz	32-bit	10	4 MB	320×200×256 VGA	SB Pro	Software rendered; cache key for speed
1992	Amiga 1200	68EC020 @ 14 MHz	32-bit	14	2 MB	320×256×256	Paula (DMA-driven PCM playback)	Much easier CPU than SNES to emulate
1992	486 Gamer	486DX2-66	32-bit	54	8 MB	640×480×256 (S3 ViRGE)	SB16	~20 FPS software Quake; 3D accel emerging
1994	PS1	MIPS R3000A	32-bit	30	2 MB + 1 MB VRAM	320×240	SPU	Geometry-heavy; no GPU T&L
1994	Pentium 90	586, 90 MHz	32-bit	90	32 MB	640×480×16M (PCI VGA)	SB AWE32	Smooth Quake @ 50+ FPS; Win95 ready
1996	N64	MIPS VR4300	64-bit	125	4-8 MB	320×240-640×480		Hard due to RSP/RDP synchronization
1998	Dreamcast	SH-4 @ 200 MHz	32-bit	360	16 MB	640×480	AICA	Very emulator-friendly architecture
2000	PS2	MIPS R5900	128-bit SIMD	6000 + 40	32 MB	640×448	SPU2	Emotion Engine 6k MIPS; +40 MIPS for PS1 compat.; VUs dominate
2001	GameCube	Gekko @ 485 MHz	32-bit	1125	24 MB	640×480	Flipper DSP	Dolphin emulator gold standard; clean PowerPC arch
2017	Switch	ARM Cortex-A57	64-bit	12,000	4 GB	720p-1080p		GPU & OS dominate emulation cost

What happened?

Looking at the above chart, you will see “what happened”. In the early 90s, the 486dx-66 was the last great consumer CPU before the 3d revolution really took off. The death of the Amiga, the rise of the accelerated playstation and the absolute dominance of the Pentium changed how home computing worked. After that moment, graphics acceleration was a must and MIPS did not matter anymore. Thus, the PS-1, even though it is only 30 mips, had enough graphics acceleration to do things a similarly priced PC of the day could not.

The original Pentium (P5, 1993–1997) provided several key architectural accelerations that Quake's software renderer exploited via hand-tuned x86 assembly (primarily by Michael Abrash), delivering ~2–3x the performance of a 486DX4-100 in rasterization-heavy scenes.

The Days before GPU Acceleration

The Pentium was really the key that launched the GPU acceleration wars.

- Superscalar dual integer pipelines (U/V): Allowed two integer instructions per cycle, allowing quake to draw pixels in bursts
- Pipelined FPU: pipelined floating point ops let Quake fire FDIV for perspective-correct texturing in parallel with integer rasterization (`r_alias.s`), making slow DIV “free” (~1c effective).
- 64-bit FPU data path: Enabled fast 64-bit FP loads/stores and near-free FXCH (stack rotates, 0-1c), optimizing matrix/dot products for geometry and lighting
- Branch prediction: predicted branches (e.g., bottom-of-loop) increased throughput.

These Pentium-specific traits were exploited via Abrash's hand-tuned ASM (`id386.asm`) delivered a 3x speedup over 486DX4-100 and AMD/Cyrix 5x86-133 style CPUs, crushing the clones' weaker floating point pipelining and marginalizing them in gaming. Pentium began to dominate the 1996 PC market as Quake's “minimum viable” software 3D benchmark, shifting devs from CPU raster hacks to hardware offload. Next, GLQuake/Voodoo (1996) hit 60+ FPS by rasterizing on GPUs, birthing the 3D acceleration era.

Lesson learned, the biggest improvement besides raw MIPS is a good PPU/GPU.

Profiling Experiments

Taken on an i7-12700k, a basic “unrolled LDA” example executes at 95 MIPS in the WASM version and at 675 MIPS in the C version. However, there's an issue if we go beyond this relative benchmark.

MIPS isn't useful

The following program illustrates the problem with MIPS:

```
.address $000100

    LCD $0FFFFFFF          ; choose a number to make the test take ~10
seconds

loop:
    DEC CD                 ; Decrement CD
    JNZ @loop              ; Jump to loop if CD != 0

    HALT                   ; Halt when done
```

- WASM version 55 MIPS.
- C version was 560 MIPS.

But here's the problem with MIPS. LSTEP, a command that does DEC CD and JNZ in one step, performs at 360 MIPS (in the C version). This shows that MIPS is somewhat deceptive as a measurement. The LSTEP command is performing the work of both DEC and JNZ in less time than each; but since it is a relatively slow command in and of itself it lowers the MIPS of the system as a whole. In reality if it was counted as two instructions it would show over ~700 MIPS. If we use a dual stage LSTEP (on two 16 bit registers) it runs in 470 MIPS (940 mips equivalent).

Another example, I had benchmarked kernal 0.7.2 at 750 MIPS, then I switched kernals to from 0.7.2 to 0.8.3. This had the effect of putting CASETAB into the hot path; instead of performing hundreds of JZ and CMP instructions in the jumtable, it performed one CASETAB. MIPS dropped to 500, but the system ran twice as fast. As it turns out, 10 seconds at 750 MIPS is slower than 5 seconds at 500 mips. That's the real takeaway; despite having a lower number of MIPS, the system runs measurably faster.

Conclusion: CISC vs RISC

Time spent on the hot path is slow, while time spent in the hot path is fast. That is, just like the WASM version, the C version does best with CISC instructions. MIPS itself, is not as important as it seems. What matters is the quality of the instruction set; even at 1 MIPS, the WASM version can handle over 2,000 sprites per frame at 60fps, utterly destroying even the SNK Neo Geo (1990) in terms of arcade performance.

Using a RISC-like ISA is only a requirement if you are emulating a particular architecture. It is not a good idea for a fantasy computer in general. A fantasy computer does better with CISC instructions.

From:
<https://www.appledog.ca/wiki/> - **Appledog**

Permanent link:
https://www.appledog.ca/wiki/doku.php?id=sd:emulation_benchmarks&rev=1778889928

Last update: **2026/05/16 00:05**

